Public Document Pack

NORTH HERTFORDSHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL

FINANCE, AUDIT AND RISK COMMITTEE

WEDNESDAY, 19TH JUNE, 2024

SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA

Please find attached supplementary papers relating to the above meeting, as follows:

Agenda No Item

15. LEISURE INVESTMENT OPTIONS - PART 2 (Pages 3 - 4)

Enclosed are the answers to Members questions raised by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee.

16. LEISURE INVESTMENT OPTIONS - PART 1 (Pages 5 - 6)

Enclosed are the answers to Members questions raised by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee.

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 15

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 16

PART 1 LEISURE INVESTMENTS AND DECARBONISATION PROJECT REPORT

QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS

1. Please could the full analysis of the alternative "scaled back" options considered be made available to the committee? I'm particularly interested in the alternative financial, environmental and risk impacts of those options.

A full analysis of the alternative scaled back options has not been carried out due to time constraints and the risk that Salix would not accept a major variation to the application. Our original application was submitted following a detailed assessment of the council's estate, with the three sites with the highest energy consumption selected, based on achieving a maximum threshold of £325 per carbon tonne saved across all three sites. Any changes to the grant application could mean that the application would not meet that threshold, particularly if we did not include North Herts Leisure Centre – as this has the highest emissions.

Wilmott Dixon have carried out a high-level assessment of what the grant value could be for two alternative options – one for completing works at Hitchin and Royston only and the second for NHLC and Royston only. In those scenarios it is estimated that the council could no longer be eligible for approximately £4million of grant funding and £3million of grant funding respectively. Any scaled back option would also be negative in terms of decarbonisation levels achieved- see graph in paragraph 8.1 of the part 1 report. Therefore, the scaling back options only reduce the current financial exposure, and therefore risk. If Hitchin or North Herts Leisure Centre were not taken forward at this stage, then any future CHP buy-out costs would be reduced, as they are linked to the remaining contract period remaining. The financial risk of not progressing now is that the value of grant available in future will be lower than what is available now.

There is a risk that Salix would not allow such a major change to the scope of works following grant offer. This may also impact our ability to apply for grant funding in the future. As the gas boilers at each centre are end of life, we will have to replace them if we don't carry out the works, meaning we would not be eligible for future rounds of PSDS for those sites. If the Council is to meet its net zero carbon goals it will have to decarbonise the leisure centres at some point, but if the works are scaled back at this stage, it is much more likely the council would have to fully fund those works, without grant assistance.

2. What is the breakdown of forecast expenditure for each centre across PV, ASHP and other project costs?

ELEMENT		Hitchin		Royston	North Herts	Combined
DE-CARBONISATION WORKS						
Double Glazing		31,500	N/A		108,450	139,950
External Wall Insulation		296,300	NA	396,250	486,950	1,179,500
Loft Insulation				573,850	632,350	
		1,186,500	81/4	5/3,850		2,392,700
Heat Recovery		39,000	N/A	6 000	172,000	211,000
Variable Speed Drives		6,000		6,000	6,000	18,000
ASHP (air to water)		960,000		1,510,000	1,120,000	3,590,000
Air Handling Units		82,000	N/A		182,000	264,000
Cooling Plant Replacement/Upgrade		60,000		95,000	70,000	225,000
Lighting Discrete Controls		25,000	N/A		9,000	34,000
Solar PV		425,000		330,000	250,000	1,005,000
Ancillary Works		50,000		40,000	60,000	150,000
ADDITIONAL WORKS	1					
Swimming Pool Extension				2,722,250		2,722,250
Gym & Store Extension				1,250,000		1,250,000
oyin a store Extension				1,250,000		1,250,000
SUB-TOTAL: BUILDING WORKS		3,161,300		6,923,350	3,096,750	13,181,400
MAIN CONTRACTOR'S PRELIMINARIES		465,250		392,000	468,000	1,325,250
Design Fees & Surveys		175,000		125,000	150,000	450,000
SUB-TOTAL: INCL PRELIMS & FEES		3,801,550		7,440,350	3,714,750	14,956,650
				-,		,,
RISKS		252,904		553,868	247,740	1,054,512
FIXED PRICE		Excluded		Excluded	Excluded	Excluded
ContractorsFee		124,066		244,623	121,252	489,942
Framework Fee	3.06%	124,066		244,623	121,252	489,942
PROJECT TOTAL (EXCLUDING VAT)		4,178,520		8,238,841	4,083,742	16,501,104
noseer rome (enceoping mit)		4/17/0/020		0/200/042	4,000,742	10,001,104
PROVISIONAL SUM ALLOWANCES						
		9,000		122,000	132,000	263,000
CTATC				122.0001	132,0001	203,000
STATS		9,000				
STATS Village Change		9,000		100,000	,	100,000